The Many Uses of Transmission Line Arresters

Introduction
It was not realized at the time, but the 1992 introduction of the polymer-housed transmission line arrester (TLA) was clearly a game changer in the practice of lightning protection of transmission lines. Overhead shield wires had been the only cost-effective means of mitigating the effects of lightning until that moment. Since then, the TLA has shown its value not only in reducing lightning induced outages but also in numerous other power system protection, construction, safety, and operation scenarios.

The Transmission Line Arrester
A TLA is manufactured and certified the same way as a standard arrester, but its application is different. A TLA is applied in parallel with transmission line insulators to prevent insulator back flashover. To mitigate backflash, the arrester conducts the lightning current from the down ground onto the phase conductor. This is quite the opposite of normal arrester installations.

The Back Flashover
A back flashover is a flashover originating from the pole or the tower down ground that moves across the insulator onto the phase conductor, as shown at the top of Figure 2. This can occur during a lightning strike to the overhead shield wire, where the ground impedance is high. It is referred to as a back flashover since it is in the opposite direction of flashovers produced in a direct strike to a phase. The back flashover is usually followed by a standard forward flashover of the insulator, providing a path for power frequency current (fault) that requires a breaker operation to terminate.

Figure 1: Typical transmission line arrester
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Mounting the TLA
The mounting example in Figure 3 shows three methods that are used for mounting TLAs. An important consideration that must be made when using a TLA is lead management. Since disconnectors are used to isolate a failed arrester from the line, the line connected to the disconnector must not be able to touch another phase after it has disconnected. There are many options on how to do this.

Energy Handling Considerations
This arrester type is similar to a distribution arrester in that it usually handles lightning currents only. This lightning-only option is possible because the TLA MCOV rating is high enough to prohibit it from going into conduction from a switching surge. This arrester is also normally used on shielded systems where the shield wire and down ground handle 80% of the lightning stroke current. Because it need not handle high lightning currents, it is rated the same as a distribution arrester and conducts lightning currents only. In some cases, where high fault currents are possible, a station class arrester is used for this purpose. If the TLA is applied...
to an unshielded transmission line, a station class arrester may be required to handle the surge energy from lightning. Figure 4\(^1\) shows how the charge (in coulombs) is shared between arresters on a typical transmission line. In the model that produced the graph in Figure 4, the total strike charge was 16C at tower 0. Towers 1–5 are on one side of the struck tower, and there is the same number of towers with arresters on the opposite side.

\[\text{Figure 4: Typical charge and current sharing between towers with arresters}\]

**TLA Reliability Considerations**

One of the biggest concerns of those using TLAs for the first time is their long-term reliability and maintenance. There was a time when gapped silicon carbide arresters had questionable reliability. However, in this mature MOV arrester era, reputable suppliers have consistently achieved arrester failure rates that are close to that of insulators. If your reliability experience is not in the 200 parts per million (ppm) range, you need to consider a different supplier. With regard to maintenance, there is none—simple as that. If you wish to monitor the TLA population, thermal imaging is recommended.

**How to Lower Lightning-Induced Momentary Outages**

If your transmission line has less than 5 ohms of tower ground resistance or you live in a low ground flash density region or your lines are well shielded, and you have a lightning induced outage rate of zero, then you do not need line arresters. However, for the rest of you, the TLA can make a big difference in outage rates on your transmission lines. There are few places in the US where lightning is not a common occurrence. The map as shown in Figure 5 is a great resource to get a feel for the lightning hit rate in your area of interest. Vaisala is also an excellent supplier of lightning data that is used by many utilities. If you wish to improve the lightning outage rate of a transmission line, there are two ways to do it. The first and most often used is to improve the tower ground resistance. This is done most often since up until 20 years ago, it was the only option. For the past 20 years, surge arrester installation has also become a means to improve the lightning performance of transmission lines. In fact, with arresters, a transmission line can become virtually lightning proof. The term “virtually” is used because there is always a slim chance that lightning finds a way to cause an outage even with arresters.

With arresters, there are several methods employed to mitigate lightning on shielded lines. The first is to run a study of the system and determine whether the addition of just a few arresters will reduce the outage rate

---

dramatically. This method uses fewer arresters and costs less than the full protection scheme. A second and less often used method is to install surge arresters on every phase of every tower. This method will make the system lightning proof. This is not science fiction; the quality of the modern-day MOV arrester makes this possible. Total elimination of momentary outages due to lightning can be achieved with the use of arresters. Georgia Transmission Corp routinely improves their outage rates with arresters, as described in an article in the INMR Quarterly Journal, titled “High Tech Sleuths at US Utility saw success Battling Lightning.”

Sectionalized protection is a term used when protecting only a certain area prone to outages due to lightning. If this is the case, the surge arresters can be installed only in that area. The high outage rate can be reduced to zero by installing arresters in these areas. Common areas of high outage rates include mountain tops, dry planes, and rocky soil.

**Lowering clearances**

The National Electrical Safety Code (NESC, IEEE C2-2012) specifies horizontal and vertical clearances of unguarded parts and clearance to live parts of extra-high-voltage (345 kV and above) power systems. Basic clearances are specified, which can be adjusted based on switching surge amplitudes. If line clearances are found inadequate to meet NESC criteria, TLAs can economically control the switching surge amplitudes with a few installations along the line. For example, on a 500 kV system, maximum crest operating voltage (line to neutral) is 449 kV. If the switching surge (line to ground) voltage is 808 kV, switching surge factor is $\frac{808}{449.1} = 1.8$ pu.

![Figure 5: Lightning activity in one day of August 2015. Red represents lightning strokes that occurred earlier; yellow is later. (www.lightningmaps.org)](image-url)
In Table 124.1 of the latest NESC (IEEE C2-2012), clearances are given for switching surge factors ranging from 1.8 to 2.7 pu for a 500 kV power system. The clearance for a 1.8-pu switching surge max is much less than for a 2.7-pu surge. This can make a significant difference in the width of a right of way, saving thousands of dollars in the project. This is discussed in detail in an IEEE paper on this subject.

The Compact Line
A compact transmission line may best be defined as one that “looks like the common and acceptable distribution lines now running through urban areas.” The compact transmission line often features the following:

- Single-pole structures
- Relatively short spans (30–150 m)
- Armless or single-arm construction
- Reduced separation between phases
- Suitability for narrow right-of-way or roadside installation

According to W. A. Chisholm, et al., “These features make the compact line designs suitable for use in congested urban areas. There are other benefits compared to multiple distribution lines that could provide similar power transfer. Rebuilding an existing circuit at a higher voltage level on the same right-of-way may be the only feasible way to increase capacity. The compact designs may have lower capital and maintenance costs, lower line losses, and may achieve important reductions in electric and magnetic fields. Compact design also tends to simplify some mechanical issues. When armless or single-arm construction with post insulators is selected, conductors are fixed at each pole. This simplifies tension stringing, thermal rating, wind loading, and other design and construction issues. The short spans of a compact design reduce the magnitude of high-temperature sag, galloping and large-amplitude conductor motion. In many cases, line tension can be reduced, relaxing pole strength requirements and concerns about aeolian vibration damage.”

An excellent example, shown in Figure 7, is a compact line found in the city of Lakeland, Florida, where they have been in use for more than 20 years for their 69-kV system. The main purpose of this system was to reduce the visual impact of the line and make it more like a distribution system.²

Tower Ground Resistances

Another potentially high return on an investment in arrester protection is the reduction in importance of tower ground resistance. When a line is fitted with arresters on each tower and each phase, the tower ground resistance becomes a non-factor in the system lightning protection, even if the lines are equipped with an OHGW. This is because the arresters do an excellent job of sharing the current of the lightning stroke with nearby towers and other phases. This study shows the details of this sharing and how arresters on all phases affect the performance of the line with high tower ground resistances. Figure 8 comes from a paper presented at the 2014 IEEE T&D Conference.³

Lowering Construction Costs
A subtle but potentially significant benefit of using line arresters instead of an overhead ground wire is construction cost savings. Final report on NYSERDA project 28816 covers this topic in detail. In the report, the cost savings for several different systems were investigated. As shown in Figure 9, in every case, transmission lines with arresters in the place of OHGW cost less initially and going forward. Table XV of the report indicates that construction cost savings are between 1.8% and 5.8% of total cost of the line for various line-type studies. This is for installation of arresters on all phases of every tower that in effect makes the line lightning proof. If only the top phase is equipped with arresters that are the equal of OHGW protection, the savings are even more.

Extending the Life of Breakers in the Station
The application of TLAs along a transmission line reduces the stress on and extends the life of breakers in the substation. Transmission lines protected from lightning by shield wires will still experience single line to ground faults when there is a back flashover of an insulator due to high ground resistance or an extra-high-current lightning stroke. When this happens, a breaker between the fault and power source will need to operate to

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tower Type</th>
<th>System voltage (kV)</th>
<th>Total line cost per mile</th>
<th>OHGW Elimination Savings</th>
<th>NGLA Costs Lightning Proof</th>
<th>Net Capital Savings</th>
<th>% Capital reduction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H-Frame</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>$900,000</td>
<td>$83,280</td>
<td>$31,200</td>
<td>$52,080</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-Frame</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>$1,050,000</td>
<td>$98,780</td>
<td>$55,200</td>
<td>$43,580</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-Frame</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>$1,200,000</td>
<td>$114,280</td>
<td>$74,400</td>
<td>$39,880</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steel Pole</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>$900,000</td>
<td>$79,440</td>
<td>$24,800</td>
<td>$54,640</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steel Pole</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>$1,590,000</td>
<td>$131,580</td>
<td>$49,600</td>
<td>$81,980</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steel Pole</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>$1,160,000</td>
<td>$93,840</td>
<td>$45,600</td>
<td>$48,240</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steel Pole</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>$1,890,000</td>
<td>$157,580</td>
<td>$91,200</td>
<td>$66,380</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steel Pole</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>$1,330,000</td>
<td>$108,240</td>
<td>$64,800</td>
<td>$43,440</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steel Pole</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>$2,190,000</td>
<td>$183,580</td>
<td>$129,600</td>
<td>$53,980</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lattice Tower</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>$1,420,000</td>
<td>$119,680</td>
<td>$49,600</td>
<td>$70,080</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lattice Tower</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>$1,080,000</td>
<td>$142,880</td>
<td>$91,200</td>
<td>$51,680</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lattice Tower</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>$1,920,000</td>
<td>$164,680</td>
<td>$129,600</td>
<td>$35,080</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 9: Table 15 of NYSERDA report on cost savings using arresters in place of OHGW
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interrupt the current flow. Since breakers have a finite number of operations before maintenance is required, any reduction in the number of operations will extend the life of the breaker.

**Reducing Cost of Emergency Standby Capacity**
Some utilities must deal with significant risk of lightning outages in the summer. For example, if a power source is a long distance from the urban center where most of the power is consumed, and if the transmission lines pass through high-lightning areas, the risk of a momentary outage can be very high. One means of mitigating this type of risk is to run local generators and depend less on the lower-cost distant source. If arresters are used on the transmission line in addition to the present OHGW, the probability of a lightning induced outage becomes zero. The cost of installing several hundred miles of arrester protection is very likely to be much less than the cost of running higher-cost local generators. This application of arresters can generate enormous savings for the end consumer and an equal amount for the utility.

**Lowering System Losses**
This application is more for new construction but could be applied to older lines if the OHGW should age out. It is a well-known fact that OHGWs can generate losses on the system if they are grounded at the tower tops. The losses are inductive in nature from the load flowing in the phase conductors. The closer the OHGW is to the phase conductors, the higher the losses. This loss analysis is covered in detail in a NYSERDA report. The losses are dependent on the type of line, number of shield wires, and the current load on the system. As you can see in Figure 11 for single-pole, two-circuit 115-kV lines, a lifetime savings of heavily loaded lines can result in $4.6 million per 100 miles of line.

**Conclusion**
The TLA can improve power systems in many ways. It can not only make a line lightning proof but also lower construction costs, increase system reliability, reduce the size of a right of way, and many more applications. Those responsible for power system reliability or planning can make a significant difference when considering the possibilities offered by TLAs.

This article was sponsored by members of NEMA Section 8LA.